1 2 3 4 5 6	Chris K. Ridder (SBN 218691) Ridder, Costa & Johnstone LLP chris@rcjlawgroup.com 440 N. Barranca Avenue, #7550 Covina, CA 91723 Tel: (650) 466-0586 Fax: 650) 466-6182 Rebecca Tushnet (No. 3043296 (N.Y.)) (pro hac vice pending)		
7 8 9 10	rtushnet@law.harvard.edu 520 Hauser, Harvard Law School 1575 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02130 Telephone: 703-593-6759 Attorneys for Amici Curiae		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DIS	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15 16	RICHARD KADREY, et al.,	Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC-TSH	
17 18 19 20	Individual and Representative Plaintiffs, v. META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT Date: May 1, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
21 22	Defendant.	Dept: Courtroom 4, 17th Floor Judge: Vince Chhabria Trial Date: None Date Action Filed: July 7, 2023	
23		, ,	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF 3:23-cv-03417-VC-TSH

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed Declaration of Rebecca

Tushnet, and the proposed brief amicus curiae annexed thereto, Amici IP Law Professors shall and hereby do move this Court, at a date and time to be determined by the Court, for leave to file the proposed brief amicus curiae.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITEIS

The *amici* intellectual property law professors listed in the Appendix to the proposed *amicus curiae* brief attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Rebecca Tushnet respectfully request leave to file the brief in support of defendants' motion for summary judgment.¹

I. INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici are professors at law schools across the country with expertise in intellectual property law and the First Amendment. Amici have no personal interest in the outcome of this case. They share a professional interest in seeing copyright and other intellectual property law develop in a way that serves the broader public interest.

Amici have written and taught extensively on topics in copyright and other intellectual property law. Because of their experience with the issues raised by this case, amici are uniquely positioned to provide the Court with a perspective that reflects the broader public interest in copyright's fair use doctrine.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF, CASE NO. 3:23-cv-03417-VC

¹ Amici state, as contemplated by the analogous Fed. R. App. P. Rule 29(a)(4)(D), that no party or party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part, or contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief. No person other than amici or their counsel contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

1

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

II. ARGUMENT

It is "within the Court's discretion" whether to allow amici to file a brief, and courts generally exercise "great liberality" in permitting amicus briefs. California by & through Becerra v. United States Dep't of the Interior, 381 F. Supp. 3d 1153, 1164 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (citation omitted). "[I]t is inapposite that an amicus brief raises the same issues as the parties' briefs. The salient question is whether such brief is helpful to the Court." *Id.* As the court noted in NetChoice, LLC v. Bonta, 2023 WL 6131619 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2023), "Amici need show only that their participation is useful to the court. The 'classic role' of amici curiae encompasses 'assisting in a case of general public interest, supplementing the efforts of counsel, and drawing the court's attention to law that escaped consideration." Id. at *1 (citation omitted); see also NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream Point Molate, LLC, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005) ("District courts frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved.").

The proposed *amici* brief is useful. It will assist the Court in addressing defendants' motion for summary judgment because amici offer independent expertise and fresh perspective on the legal and policy issues raised by this case. Amici collectively have published numerous scholarly articles about copyright, fair use, and the First Amendment. In their proposed brief, *amici* elaborate on the relationship between large-scale copying to create new resources and copyright law.

The proposed brief is timely and will not cause any delay. Before filing, counsel for amicus sought consent from both parties. Defendants consent to its filing. Counsel contacted plaintiffs' lawyers listed on the caption on March 26, 2025, by email, but have received no response as of the time of filing. The proposed brief is being submitted to the court within time period provided by the analogous provision of the Fed. R. App. P., Rule

29(a)(6) (within seven days after filing of the brief of the party being supported) and it is 1 limited to half the length of the main briefs. 2 For the foregoing reasons, amici request that the Court grant leave to file the 3 proposed amici curiae brief, attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Rebecca Tushnet. 4 5 March 31, 2025 Dated: By: /s/ Rebecca Tushnet 6 Rebecca Tushnet (No. 3043296 (N.Y.)) (pro hac vice pending) 7 rtushnet@law.harvard.edu 520 Hauser, Harvard Law School 8 1575 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02130 9 Tel: 703-593-6759 10 Chris K. Ridder (SBN 218691) Ridder, Costa & Johnstone LLP 11 chris@rcjlawgroup.com 440 N. Barranca Avenue, #7550 12 Covina, CA 91723 Tel: (650) 466-0586 13 Fax: 650) 466-6182 14 Attorneys for Amici Curiae 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

	APPENDIX ²	
1		
2	Matthew Sag	
3	Jonas Robitscher Professor of Law in Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Data Science, Emory University.	
4	Zahr K. Said	
5	Professor of Law Santa Clara University School of Law	
6		
7 8	Jessica Silbey Professor of Law, Boston University School of Law	
9	Rebecca Tushnet Frank Stanton Professor of the First Amendment, Harvard Law School	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	2 Institutional official and an annuited salato for assumption of identification	

28

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF, CASE NO. 3:23-cv-03417-VC $^{\,4}$

Institutional affiliations are provided solely for purposes of identification.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2025, I caused the foregoing **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE** *AMICI CURIAE* **BRIEF** to be served by electronic means via the Court's CM/ECF system on all counsel registered to receive electronic notices.

/s/ Christopher Ridder

Chris K. Ridder (SBN 218691) Ridder, Costa & Johnstone LLP chris@rcjlawgroup.com 440 N. Barranca Avenue, #7550 Covina, CA 91723

Tel: (650) 466-0586 Fax: 650) 466-6182

Attorney for Amici Curiae